


The Traprock



Instructions for Reviewers

Please review the attached manuscript for its suitability for publication in the Traprock. In order to be helpful to the authors, your comments should be as precise as possible. You can make comments on the manuscript (using the comment feature in Word Alt+I, m inserts a comment) or submit a hardcopy of the manuscript with your review, or attach a separate letter to the review sheet.

You can also choose to reveal your identity to the authors or to remain anonymous.

Title: _____

Author(s): _____

Specific Review Criteria

		YES	NO	Remarks
1.	Does the paper clearly state a hypothesis?			
2.	Are the methods and results set out clearly?			
3.	Is the paper well reasoned and does it reach valid conclusions?			
4.	Are all the necessary references given, and are they cited according to the specified reference style? Are there unnecessary references that are not cited in the text?			
5.	Does the paper contain an abstract, and is the abstract sufficiently informative?			
6.	Are all the tables and figures necessary? Would additional figures be helpful?			
7.	Are there grammatical or syntactical errors in the manuscript?			
8.	I would like to be identified to the authors			

		YES	NO	Remarks (if any)
	The paper is acceptable			
a	as it stands			
b	subject to minor revisions (for example: typographic corrections, alteration of captions or labelling of figures, and some rewording of text)			
c	subject to major revision (for example: additional research, or restructuring of paper)			
	The paper is unacceptable on account of			
a	demonstrable errors			
b	insignificance of results/conclusions			

Name of reviewer: _____ Date of review: _____